

WONDER WOMAN

Emerging from a telephone booth the attractive, mild mannered Linda Strickland reveals herself as WONDER WOMAN. Fighting for truth, justice and the American way; defender of the Constitution and constituents of Boulder City, she boldly goes forth where others fear to tread.

Lacking truthful, factual information the forces of evil and darkness attack her through accusations, rumors, deceit, innuendo with seemingly unlimited funds. But WONDER WOMAN calmly fends off the barbs with her magic wristbands. Leaping over a tall building in a single bound (City Hall) she confronts her accusers, as appropriate, in a court of law instead of paid advertising.

Your Honor, WONDER WOMAN sweetly addressed the court. These four men (smugly), Olsen, Tobler, McCoy and Walker are once again suing the taxpaying citizens of Boulder City. This time they are using over \$165,000 of Boulder City taxpayers own money.

The signers of the initiatives were expressing their right under the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States for a redress of grievances utilizing the initiative process. Such initiatives are to be pursued by citizens without fear of punishment and reprisal from malicious politicians. Suing them individually will intimidate future signers of initiatives for fear of monetary punishment and reprisal. It is further a violation of SLAPP, (Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation) a law in the State of Nevada.

After hearing a convoluted presentation by Olsen's highly paid law firm the Judge issued her decision.

You guys really p..s me off. I determine that WONDER WOMAN prevails in her suit against Boulder City. I congratulate her and also award her \$10,000 for her efforts in pursuing this frivolous case while enduring the most trying, scandalous accusations. Further, Olsen et. al. I determine that the city pay \$925.00 in court costs and further you and your legal representative return to first year law school to study the Constitution of the United States and the SLAPP law of the State of Nevada.

Oh, and WONDER WOMAN.

Yes your Honor.

Please do not return to court in your ruby shoes, sparkly bathing suit and diamond tiara.

Bart Hoppe Boulder City Resident

DEAR CHARLES (Coombs)

I was unaware of your detailed legal acumen as I read with great interest your scholarly legal dissertation "Not So Wonderful" published in the "Shopper". The dissertation appeared to offer several valid legal points worthy of further consideration. As I am not an attorney consultation with an experienced legal representative seemed appropriate. Therefore I sought the valuable assistance of an experienced, reputable, jurist. However, all I could afford was an inebriated, impeached, disbarred Judge.

We deliberated in a local tavern wherein we partook liberally of adult beverages. (I noted via your absence the lack of support of a local business.) We analyzed in depth the legal points presented in your opinion prone piece in about five minutes. Any further effort was deemed a waste of time, of no value and besides our beverages were becoming warm.

Our conclusion is the appropriate response to you is the same as expressed in legal terms by another Judge, PPHUFFFTT.

Bart Hoppe Boulder City Resident